

ON THE FIRST SIGN CHANGE IN MERTENS' THEOREM

JAN BÜTHE

ABSTRACT. The function $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log(x) - M$ is known to change sign infinitely often, but so far all calculated values are positive. In this paper we prove that the first sign change occurs well before $\exp(495.702833165)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mertens' Theorem states that

$$\Delta_M(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log(x) - M = O(\log(x)^{-1})$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $M = 0.26149\dots$ denotes the Mertens constant [Mer74]. Rosser and Schoenfeld observed that $\Delta_M(x)$ is always positive for $1 \leq x \leq 10^8$ and posed the question whether this would always be the case [RS62, p. 72f]. This has been answered by Robin who showed that $\Delta_M(x)$ changes sign infinitely often [Rob83].

In this paper we show that the first sign change occurs before $\exp(495.702833165) = 1.909875\dots \times 10^{215}$. More specifically, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an $x_0 \in [\exp(495.702833109), \exp(495.702833165)]$ such that $\Delta_M(x)$ is negative for all $x \in [x_0 - \exp(239.046541), x_0]$.*

This problem is similar to bounding the Skewes number, the number in $[2, \infty)$ where the first sign change of $\Delta(x) = \pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ occurs [Ske33]; this number is by now known to lie between 10^{19} [Büt15] and $\exp(727.951335792)$ [STDar]. The functions $\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_M(x)$ are closely related and the Prime Number Theorem, $\Delta(x) = o(\text{li}(x))$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$, is in fact equivalent to $\Delta_M(x) = o(\log(x)^{-1})$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$. But since $\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_M(x)$ are biased in opposite directions there is no correlation between the sign changes of the two functions. On the Riemann Hypothesis, sign changes of $\Delta_M(x)$ rather occur at points where $\Delta(x) \approx -2\sqrt{x}/\log(x)$.

Date: November 9, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N05, 11Y35, 11M26.

Theorem 1.1 is proven by an adaption of the Lehman method for bounding the Skewes number [Leh66], using explicit formulas and numerical approximations to part of the zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function from [FKBJar]. In doing so, the kernel function in Lehman's method is replaced by the Logan function [Log88], which appears to be more suitable for this problem. This is done in such generality that it can easily be reapplied to the original Lehman method.

2. NOTATIONS

As usual $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function and zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are denoted by $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ with $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The Euler constant is denoted by $C_0 = 0.57721\dots$ and the Mertens constant by

$$(2.1) \quad M = C_0 - \sum_p \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m p^m} = 0.26149\dots$$

We use the symbol $\sum'$ to define normalized summatory functions, i.e. we define

$$\sum'_{x < n < y} a_n := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x < n < y} a_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \leq n \leq y} a_n.$$

Moreover, we define the Mertens prime-counting functions

$$\pi_M(x) = \sum'_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_M^*(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_M(x^{1/m})}{m}.$$

The Fourier transform of a function f is denoted by $\hat{f}$ and defined by

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-itx} dt.$$

Finally, we will use Turing's big theta notation for explicit estimates and write $f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$ for $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

The method we use is similar to the Lehman method for finding regions where $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ is positive [Leh66]. We aim to calculate upper bounds for a weighted mean value

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K(y-\omega) y e^{y/2} [\pi_M(e^y) - \log(y) - M] dy,$$

where $K(y)$ is a non-negative kernel function. By using explicit formulas this mean value can be expressed as a sum over the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, which can be approximated

numerically. Then, if an ω can be found for which the value in (3.1) is negative, there must exist an $x \in [\exp(\omega - \varepsilon), \exp(\omega + \varepsilon)]$ such that $\pi_M(x) - \log \log(x) - M$ is negative.

Lehman's method uses the Gaussian function as a kernel function but we prefer to use dilatations of the function

$$K_c(y) := \begin{cases} \frac{c}{2 \sinh(c)} I_0(c \sqrt{1 - y^2}) & |y| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $I_0(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t/2)^{2n} / (n!)^2$ denotes the 0-th modified Bessel function. The Fourier transform of K_c is given by the Logan function (see [FKBJar, Proposition 4.1])

$$\hat{K}_c(x) = \ell_c(x) := \frac{c}{\sinh c} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{t^2 - c^2})}{\sqrt{t^2 - c^2}},$$

which satisfies an optimality property well-suited for this problem [Log88], and which outperforms the Gaussian function in the similar context of calculating the prime-counting function analytically [FKBJar].

We define

$$K_{c,\varepsilon}(y) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_c(y/\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{c,\varepsilon}(x) := \hat{K}_{c,\varepsilon}(x) = \ell_c(\varepsilon x).$$

Then our main result is

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-3}$, $c \geq 3$, $\omega - \varepsilon > 200$, and let $H \geq c/\varepsilon$ be a number such that $\beta = 1/2$ holds for all zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the Riemann zeta function with $0 < \gamma \leq H$. Furthermore, let $h = 0$ if the Riemann hypothesis holds and $h = 1$ otherwise. Then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K_{c,\varepsilon}(y - \omega) y e^{y/2} [\pi_M(e^y) - \log(y) - M] dy \\ \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq c/\varepsilon} e^{-i\gamma\omega} \ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\omega\rho^2} \right) \\ + 1 + 5.4 \times 10^{-10} + \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 \leq 0.33 e^{h\omega/2} \frac{e^{0.71\sqrt{c\varepsilon}}}{\sinh c} \log(3c) \log\left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \leq \frac{3.36 + 126\varepsilon}{1000\omega^2} + 2.8 \left(\frac{e}{2H}\right)^{\omega/2-1} \log(H),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{E}_3 \leq \frac{e^{\omega/2}}{1.99H} \log(H) \left(\frac{c e^{3.12\sqrt{c\varepsilon}}}{\omega \sinh(c)} + \left(\frac{e\varepsilon}{\omega}\right)^{\omega/2} \right).$$

Moreover, if $a \in (0, 1)$ satisfies $ac/\varepsilon \geq 10^3$ in addition to the previous conditions, then

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{\frac{ac}{\varepsilon} < |\gamma| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}} \left| e^{-i\gamma\omega} \ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\omega\rho^2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{0.32 + 3.51c\varepsilon}{ca^2} \log\left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\cosh(c\sqrt{1-a^2})}{\sinh(c)}.$$

The proof needs some preparation.

4. THE EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR $\pi_M^*(x)$

The first ingredient is the explicit formula for $\pi_M^*(x)$. We define the auxiliary function

$$\tilde{\text{Ei}}(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{z-t}}{z-t} dt,$$

which coincides with the exponential integral $\text{Ei}(z)$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and which occurs naturally in explicit formulas for prime-counting functions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x > 1$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_M^*(x) = \log \log(x) + C_0 - \sum_\rho^* \tilde{\text{Ei}}(-\rho \log x) + \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2 \log(t)(t^2 - 1)},$$

where the star indicates that the sum over zeros is calculated as

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \tilde{\text{Ei}}(-\rho \log x).$$

Proof. The argument is similar to the original proof of the Riemann explicit formula in [vM95].

Let

$$(4.2) \quad \psi(x, r) = \sum'_{p^m < x} \frac{\log p}{p^{mr}}.$$

Then we have

$$\pi_M^*(x) = \int_1^\infty \psi(x, r) dr.$$

From [Lan08, (39)] we get the explicit formula

$$\psi(x, r) = \frac{x^{1-r}}{1-r} - \sum_\rho^* \frac{x^{\rho-r}}{\rho-r} - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{-2n-r}}{-2n-r} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(r).$$

Since $\text{Ei}(-x) = \log(x) + C_0 + o(x)$ for $x \searrow 0$ [Olv97, p. 40], and since $\log \zeta(1 + \varepsilon) = -\log(\varepsilon) + o(1)$ for $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{x^{1-r}}{1-r} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(r) dr = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} [\text{Ei}(-\varepsilon \log x) + \log \zeta(1 + \varepsilon)] = \log \log(x) + C_0.$$

The sum over zeros takes the form

$$\int_1^\infty \sum_{\rho}^* \frac{x^{\rho-r}}{\rho-r} dr = \sum_{\rho}^* \tilde{\text{Ei}}((\rho-1)\log x) = \sum_{\rho}^* \tilde{\text{Ei}}(-\rho \log x),$$

and for the sum over the trivial zeros we find

$$\int_1^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{-2n-r}}{2n+r} dr = \int_1^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x^{-(2n+1)r} \frac{dr}{r} = \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{-3r}}{1-x^{-2r}} dr = \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2 \log(t)(t^2-1)}. \quad \square$$

5. THE DIFFERENCE $\pi_M^*(x) - \pi_M(x)$

By definition of the Mertens constant (2.1) we have

$$\pi_M(x) = \pi_M^*(x) + M - C_0 + r_M(x),$$

where

$$r_M(x) = \sum'_{\substack{p^m > x \\ m \geq 2}} \frac{1}{mp^m}.$$

The term $r_M(x)$ is responsible for the positive bias in Mertens' Theorem and needs to be bounded from above.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\log(x) > 200$. Then*

$$r_M(x) \leq \frac{1 + 5.3 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{x} \log x}.$$

Proof. First we consider the contribution of the squares of prime numbers which yield the main term. Let $r(t) = \psi(t) - t$, where $\psi(t) := \psi(t, 0)$ in the sense of (4.2) denotes the normalized Chebyshev function, and assume $|r(t)| < \varepsilon t$ for $t \geq \sqrt{x}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then partial summation gives

$$(5.1) \quad \sum'_{p > \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p^2} < \left[\frac{-r(t)}{t^2 \log t} \right]_{\sqrt{x}}^\infty + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2 \log(t)} - \int_{\sqrt{x}}^\infty r(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{t^2 \log t} \right) dt < 2 \frac{1 + 3\varepsilon}{\sqrt{x} \log x}.$$

For $3 \leq m \leq \log(x)$ we use

$$\sum_{p \geq x^{1/m}} \frac{1}{p^m} \leq \frac{1}{x} + \int_{x^{1/m}}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^m} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{m-1} x^{1/m-1},$$

which gives

$$\sum_{\substack{p^m \geq x \\ 3 \leq m \leq \log x}} \frac{1}{mp^m} \leq \frac{\log x}{x} + (\zeta(2) - 1)x^{-2/3} < \frac{10^{-12}}{\sqrt{x} \log(x)}.$$

For $m > \log x$ we estimate trivially:

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{p^m} \leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^{-m} + 2^{-m} \leq 2^{-m} + \int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^m} = 2^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{2}{m-1}\right).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{p^m \geq x \\ m > \log x}} \frac{1}{mp^m} \leq \frac{1.01}{\log(x)} \sum_{m \geq \log x} 2^{-m} \leq \frac{2.02 \times 2^{-\log(x)}}{\log(x)} < \frac{10^{-16}}{\sqrt{x} \log(x)}.$$

By [Bütar, Table 1] (5.1) holds with $\varepsilon = 1.752 \times 10^{-10}$ and so the assertion follows. $\square$

6. EVALUATING THE SUM OVER ZEROS

The next problem is to approximate the following integral of the sum over zeros

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} K_{c,\varepsilon}(y - \omega) y e^{y/2} \sum_{\rho}^* \tilde{\text{Ei}}(-\rho y) dy.$$

Here, integral and sum may be interchanged, since the sum converges locally in L^1 . Therefore, we may treat each summand individually.

6.1. Asymptotic Expansion of the Summands. Since the Logan kernel should also be of interest for the question on finding regions where $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ is positive, the following Lemma is presented in a more general version, which also covers the classical case.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \omega$, and let $K \in L^1([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$ satisfy $\|K\|_{L^1} = 1$. Let $a \in [0, 1]$, let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$, where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and let*

$$\Phi_{\omega,\rho,a} = \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K(y - \omega) y e^{(\frac{1}{2}-a)y} \tilde{\text{Ei}}((a - \rho)y) dy.$$

Then we have

$$(6.1) \quad \Phi_{\omega,\rho,a} = \sum_{j=1}^k (j-1)! \frac{F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(0)}{(\rho - a)^j} + \Theta \left(\frac{k! e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\omega}}{(\omega - \varepsilon)^k |\gamma|^{k+1}} \right),$$

where $F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-1)}(0) = -e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)\omega} \hat{K}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})$ and for $j \geq 2$ and any $m \geq 0$,

$$(6.2) \quad F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(0) = (-1)^j e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)\omega} \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{n+j-2}{n} \frac{(-i)^n \hat{K}^{(n)}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})}{\omega^{n+j-1}} + \Theta \left(\frac{e^{j-2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\omega}}{\omega^{j-1}} \frac{(e\varepsilon/\omega)^{m+1}}{1 - e\varepsilon/\omega} \right).$$

Proof. By definition of $\tilde{\text{Ei}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\omega,\rho,a} &= \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K(y-\omega) y e^{(\frac{1}{2}-a)y} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{(a-\rho-r)y}}{a-\rho-r} dr dy \\ (6.3) \quad &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{a-\rho-r} \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K(y-\omega) y e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho-r)y} dy dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(r) := (-1)^j \int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} y^{1-j} K(y-\omega) e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho-r)y} dy,$$

which is well defined since $\omega > \varepsilon$, and satisfies $\frac{d}{dr} F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)} = F_{\omega,\rho}^{(1-j)}$. Then partial summation gives

$$\Phi_{\omega,\rho,a} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{F_{\omega,\rho}^{(0)}(r)}{r+\rho-a} dr = \sum_{j=1}^k (j-1)! \frac{F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(0)}{(\rho-a)^j} - k! \int_0^\infty \frac{F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-k)}(r)}{(r+\rho-a)^{k+1}} dr.$$

Here, the trivial bound

$$\left| F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-k)}(r) \right| \leq \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \frac{|K(y)|}{(\omega+y)^{k-1}} e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\beta-r)(y+\omega)} dy \leq \frac{e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{(\omega-\varepsilon)^{k-1}} e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\omega} e^{r(\varepsilon-\omega)}$$

yields

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-k)}(r)}{(r+\rho-a)^{k+1}} \right| dr \leq \frac{e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\omega}}{(\omega-\varepsilon)^k |\gamma|^{k+1}}$$

which confirms (6.1). It remains to evaluate $F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(0)$. For $j=1$ we find

$$F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-1)}(0) = -e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)\omega} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon K(y) e^{-i(\frac{\rho}{i}-\frac{1}{2i})y} dy = -e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)\omega} \hat{K}\left(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i}\right).$$

For larger values of j we use the Taylor series expansion

$$\frac{1}{(\omega+y)^u} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{u+n-1}{n} \frac{(-y)^n}{\omega^{u+n}}$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon K(y) y^n e^{-i(\frac{\rho}{i}-\frac{1}{2i})y} dy = i^n \hat{K}^{(n)}\left(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i}\right),$$

which gives

$$F_{\omega,\rho}^{(-j)}(0) = (-1)^j e^{(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)\omega} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{j+n-2}{n} \frac{(-i)^n \hat{K}^{(n)}\left(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i}\right)}{\omega^{n+j-1}}.$$

From (6.4) we get

$$\left| \hat{K}^{(n)}\left(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i}\right) \right| \leq e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \varepsilon^n$$

and the inequality $\binom{a}{b} \leq (\frac{ea}{b})^b$, which follows from Stirling's lower bound for $b!$, implies

$$\binom{j+n-2}{n} \leq e^n \left(1 + \frac{j-2}{n}\right)^n \leq e^{n+j-2}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \binom{j+n-2}{n} \frac{|\hat{K}^{(n)}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})|}{\omega^{n+j-1}} \leq \frac{e^{j-2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{\omega^{j-1}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e\varepsilon}{\omega}\right)^n = \frac{e^{j-2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{\omega^{j-1}} \frac{(e\varepsilon/\omega)^{m+1}}{1 - e\varepsilon/\omega},$$

which confirms the bound in (6.2). $\square$

6.2. Bounds for the Kernel Function. We need some bounds to estimate the tails of the sum over zeros. These are provided by the following two Lemmas from [Büt] and [Bütar]:

Lemma 6.2 ([Bütar, Lemma 2]). *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-3}$ and $c \geq 3$. Then we have*

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| > \frac{\varepsilon}{c}} \frac{|\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})|}{|\gamma|} \leq 0.32 \frac{e^{0.71\sqrt{c\varepsilon}}}{\sinh(c)} \log(3c) \log\left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Lemma 6.3 ([Büt, Lemma 4.5]). *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-3}$ and $c \geq 3$, and let $a \in (0, 1)$ satisfy $ac/\varepsilon > 10^3$. Then we have*

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{\frac{ac}{\varepsilon} < |\gamma| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{c}} \frac{|\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma)|}{|\gamma|} \leq \frac{1 + 11c\varepsilon}{\pi c a^2} \log\left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\cosh(c\sqrt{1-a^2})}{\sinh(c)}.$$

We also need bounds for the derivatives $\ell_{c,\varepsilon}^{(n)}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})$ occurring in (6.2), for calculations not assuming the Riemann hypothesis.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta < c/100$, and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\Re(z)| \geq c/\varepsilon$ and $|\Im(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\left| \ell_{c,\varepsilon}^{(n)}(z) \right| \leq n! \frac{c e^{1.56\sqrt{\delta c}}}{\sinh(c)} \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^n.$$

Proof. The bound follows from the Cauchy formula

$$\ell_{c,\varepsilon}^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z-\xi|=\frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon}} \frac{\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{n+1}} d\xi$$

if we show that

$$(6.7) \quad |\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\xi)| \leq \frac{c e^{1.56\sqrt{\delta c}}}{\sinh(c)}$$

in the range of integration. By basic properties of $\ell_{c,\varepsilon}$ it suffices to prove this bound for $\varepsilon = 1$ under the conditions $\Re(\xi) \geq c - \delta$, $0 \leq \Im(\xi) \leq \delta$, and we may also assume $\delta < c/100$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Im(\sqrt{\xi^2 - c^2}) \right| &\leq \left| \Im(\sqrt{(c - \delta + i\delta)^2 - c^2}) \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2|1 + i|\delta c} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{\delta c - \delta^2}{\delta c}\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2^{3/4} \sin(1.181) \sqrt{\delta c} \leq 1.56 \sqrt{\delta c} \end{aligned}$$

under these conditions, the desired bound follows from

$$\left| \frac{\sin(z)}{z} \right| \leq e^{|\Im(z)|}.$$

□

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(e^y) - \log(y) - M &= \pi_M^*(e^y) - \log(y) - C_0 + r_M(e^y) \\ &\leq - \sum_{\rho}^* \tilde{\text{Ei}}(-\rho y) + \frac{1 + 5.4 \times 10^{-10}}{y} e^{-y/2} \end{aligned}$$

for $y > 200$, where we estimated the integral in (4.1) trivially by e^{-3y} . Therefore

$$\int_{\omega-\varepsilon}^{\omega+\varepsilon} K_{c,\varepsilon}(y - \omega) y e^{y/2} [\pi_M(e^y) - \log(y) - M] dy \leq - \sum_{\rho} \Phi_{\omega,\rho,0} + 1 + 5.4 \times 10^{-10},$$

with $\Phi_{\omega,\rho,0}$ as defined in Lemma 6.1 with $K = K_{c,\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{K} = \ell_{c,\varepsilon}$. We subdivide the sum over zeros into two parts. For $0 < \gamma \leq H$ we choose $k = 2$ and $m = 0$ in Lemma 6.1, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} (7.1) \quad - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq H} \Phi_{\omega,\rho,0} &\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq c/\varepsilon} e^{-i\gamma\omega} \ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\omega\rho^2} \right) + \sum_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |\gamma| \leq H} \left| \frac{\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right| \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{c\omega} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{|\gamma| < H} \left(\frac{2.72\varepsilon}{\gamma^2} + \frac{2.01}{|\gamma|^3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-3}$. For $\gamma > H$ we have

$$(7.2) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| > H} |\Phi_{\omega, \rho, 0}| \leq e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \left| \frac{\ell_{c, \varepsilon}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})}{\gamma} \right| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(j-1)!}{\omega^{j-1}} H^{1-j} \\ + e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{(j-1)!}{|\gamma|^j} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \binom{n+j-2}{n} \frac{|\ell_{c, \varepsilon}^{(n)}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})|}{\omega^{n+j-1}} + \frac{e^{j-2+\varepsilon/2}(e\varepsilon)^{m+1}}{\omega^{j+m-1}(\omega - e\varepsilon)} \right) \\ + e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \frac{k!e^{\varepsilon/2}}{(\omega - \varepsilon)^k |\gamma|^{k+1}}$$

for arbitrary $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$, where $h = 0$ if the Riemann hypothesis holds and $h = 1$ otherwise. So the inequality in (3.2) holds with

$$(7.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 = \sum_{\frac{\varepsilon}{c} < |\gamma| \leq H} \left| \frac{\ell_{c, \varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right| \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{c\omega} \right) + e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \left| \frac{\ell_{c, \varepsilon}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})}{\gamma} \right| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(j-1)!}{\omega^{j-1}} H^{1-j},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{2.72\varepsilon}{\gamma^2} + \frac{2.01}{|\gamma|^3} \right) + e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \frac{k!e^{\varepsilon/2}}{(\omega - \varepsilon)^k |\gamma|^{k+1}},$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad \mathcal{E}_3 = e^{\omega/2} \sum_{|\gamma| > H} \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{(j-1)!}{|\gamma|^j} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \binom{n+j-2}{n} \frac{|\ell_{c, \varepsilon}^{(n)}(\frac{\rho}{i} - \frac{1}{2i})|}{\omega^{n+j-1}} + \frac{e^{j-2+\varepsilon/2}(e\varepsilon)^{m+1}}{\omega^{j+m-1}(\omega - e\varepsilon)} \right).$$

We proceed by bounding $\mathcal{E}_k$. To this end we choose $k = m = \lfloor \omega/2 \rfloor$. In (7.3) we take $H = \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$, which gives

$$(7.6) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 \leq e^{h\omega/2} \sum_{\frac{\varepsilon}{c} < |\gamma|} \left| \frac{\ell_{c, \varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{j!}{\omega^j} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \right)^j,$$

where the inner sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2c} \right)^j \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{6000} \right)^{-1} \leq 1.0002,$$

since $c \geq 3$. Using this and (6.5) in (7.6) gives (3.3).

In (7.4) we use the bounds $\sum_{\gamma} \gamma^{-2} < 0.0463$ and $\sum_{\gamma} |\gamma|^{-3} < 0.00167$ from [Ros41, Lemma 17], the bound

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| > T} |\gamma|^{-k} \leq T^{1-k} \log(T)$$

for $T \geq 2\pi e$ and $k \geq 2$ from [Leh66, Lemma 2], and the inequality $(\omega - \varepsilon)^k \geq e^{-\varepsilon}\omega^k$, which follows from $k \leq \omega/2$, and get

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \leq \frac{0.00336 + 0.126\varepsilon}{\omega^2} + e^{\omega/2} \frac{e^{2\varepsilon} k!}{(\omega H)^k} \log(H) \leq \frac{3.36 + 126\varepsilon}{1000 \omega^2} + 2.8 \left(\frac{e}{2H} \right)^{\omega/2-1} \log(H).$$

In (7.5) we use (7.7) again and the bound from Lemma 6.4, where we choose $\delta = 4\varepsilon$, which gives

$$(7.8) \quad \mathcal{E}_3 \leq e^{\omega/2} \sum_{j=2}^k H^{1-j} \log(H) \left(\frac{c e^{3.12\sqrt{c\varepsilon}}}{\sinh(c)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{j-1}{\omega} \frac{(n+j-2)!}{\omega^{n+j-2}} 2^{-n} + \frac{1.002e^{j-1}}{e} \frac{(j-1)!}{\omega^{j-1}} \left(\frac{e\varepsilon}{\omega} \right)^{m+1} \right)$$

Since $n+j-2 \leq \omega$ we have $(n+j-2)!/\omega^{n+j-2} \leq 1/\omega$, so the inner sum is bounded by $1/(2\omega)$. In the second summand, we use the bound $(j-1)!/\omega^{j-1} \leq 2^{1-j}$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^{-j} \leq 1.001/H$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2H/e)^{-j} \leq 1.001e/(2H)$, and $m+1 \geq \omega/2$, we obtain the bound in (3.5).

Finally, the estimate in (3.6) follows from (6.6) since

$$\sum_{\frac{ac}{\varepsilon} < |\gamma| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega\rho} \right) \right| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{200 \times 1000} \right) \sum_{\frac{ac}{\varepsilon} < |\gamma| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right|$$

□

8. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To locate potential regions where the left hand side of (3.2) should be small, the function

$$\sigma_T(y) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{e^{i\gamma y}}{\frac{1}{2} - i\gamma}.$$

has been evaluated for $T = 10^6$ at all points in $10^{-7}\mathbb{Z} \cap [1, 2500]$. Since $\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = 1 + O((\varepsilon\gamma)^2/c)$ for $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ this gives a reasonably good approximation to the first part of the sum in (3.2), and the objective is thus to find regions where $\sigma_T(y)$ smaller than -1 .

The evaluation has been done using the method for fast multiple evaluation of trigonometric sums from [FKBJar]. A more detailed search with $T = 10^8$ around 495.7028078, the first point where $\sigma_{10^6}(y)$ turned out to be promisingly small, revealed a short region of length $\approx 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$ about 495.702833137 where $\sigma_{10^8}(y)$ is smaller than -1 .

Theorem 1.1 now follows by an application of Theorem 3.1 with $\omega = 495.702833137$, $c = 280$, $\varepsilon = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$, $H = 10^{11}$ (which has been reported in [FKBJar]) and $a = 0.4$.

TABLE 1. Values of $y \in [1, 2500]$ for which $\sigma_{10^6}(y) < -0.95$.

y	$\sigma_{10^6}(y)$
495.7028078	-0.9972...
1423.957207	-0.9740...
1623.9204309	-0.9807...
1859.1291846	-1.0511...
2107.5263606	-1.0214...
2285.3917834	-1.0454...
2430.3039554	-1.0172...
2447.6661764	-1.0028...

The sum over zeros was calculated using approximations to the zeros with imaginary part up to 4×10^9 which were given within an absolute accuracy of 2^{-64} . The sum was evaluated using multiple precision arithmetic, which gave the bound

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 4 \times 10^9} e^{-i\gamma\omega} \ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\omega\rho^2} \right) \leq -1.00015419.$$

The sum in (3.6) is then bounded by 1.2×10^{-11} and we have

$$\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3 \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-12} + 1.37 \times 10^{-8} + 1.6 \times 10^{-24} \leq 1.38 \times 10^{-8}.$$

Thus, the left hand side of (3.2) is bounded by

$$-1.00015419 + 1.2 \times 10^{-11} + 1 + 5.4 \times 10^{-10} + 1.38 \times 10^{-8} < -0.000154.$$

Consequently, there exists an $x \in [\exp(w - \varepsilon), \exp(w + \varepsilon)]$ such that $\pi_M(x) - \log \log(x) - M < -0.000154/(\sqrt{x} \log x)$. Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(x - y) - \log \log(x - y) - M &\leq \pi_M(x) - \log \log(x) - M + \int_{x-y}^x \frac{dt}{t \log t} \\ &\leq -\frac{0.000154}{\sqrt{x} \log(x)} + \frac{y}{(x - y) \log(x - y)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is negative for $y \leq 0.00015\sqrt{x}$. Since $0.00015\sqrt{x} > \exp(239.046541)$ the assertion of Theorem 1.1 follows. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for his or her quick and thorough proofreading.

REFERENCES

- [Büt] J. Büthe, *A practical analytic method for calculating $\pi(x)$ II*, arXiv:1410.7008.
- [Büt15] ———, *Untersuchung der Primzahlzählfunktion und verwandter Funktionen*, Ph.D. thesis, Bonn University, March 2015.
- [Bütar] ———, *Estimating $\pi(x)$ and related functions under partial RH assumptions*, Math. Comp. (to appear).
- [FKBJar] J. Franke, Th. Kleinjung, J. Büthe, and A. Jost, *A practical analytic method for calculating $\pi(x)$* , Math. Comp. (to appear).
- [Lan08] E. Landau, *Nouvelle démonstration pour la formule de Riemann sur le nombre des nombres premiers inférieurs à une limite donnée et démonstration d'une formule plus générale pour le cas des nombres premiers d'une progression arithmétique*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **25** (1908), 399–442.
- [Leh66] R. Sherman Lehman, *On the difference $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$* , Acta Arith. **11** (1966), 397–410.
- [Log88] B. F. Logan, *Bounds for the tails of sharp-cutoff filter kernels*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **19** (1988), no. 2, 372–376.
- [Mer74] F. Mertens, *Ein Beitrag zur Analytischen Zahlentheorie*, J. reine angew. Math. **78** (1874), 42–62.
- [Olv97] Frank W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, AKP Classics, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1997.
- [Rob83] G. Robin, *Sur l'ordre maximum de la fonction somme des diviseurs*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1981–82, Progr. Math., vol. 38, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 233–244.
- [Ros41] Barkley Rosser, *Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers*, Amer. J. Math. **63** (1941), 211–232.
- [RS62] J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [Ske33] S. Skewes, *On the sign of the difference $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$* , J. Lond. Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 277–283.
- [STDar] Y. Saouter, T. Trudgian, and P. Demichel, *A still sharper region where $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ is positive*, Math. Comp. (to appear).
- [vM95] H. von Mangoldt, *Zu Riemanns Abhandlungen "Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse"*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **114** (1895), pp. 255–305.

HAUSDORFF CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, ENDENICHER ALLEE 62, 53115 BONN
 E-mail address: `jan.bueth@hcm.uni-bonn.de`